

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/677,886 | 10/03/2000 | Toshiya Imai | 198009US2S | 6145 |
| 2200 | 590 07/11/2002 | | | |
| OBLON SPIV | AK MCCLELLANI | EXAMINER | | |
| FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY | | | EASTHOM, KARL D | |
| ARLINGTON, | , VA 22202 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2832 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/11/2002 | |
| | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/677,886

Applicant(s)

lmai et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

-4

Karl Easthom

Art Unit 2832



| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | | | |
| · Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In | no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the | | | | |
| mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the lift NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the meiling date of this communication. ne application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 20, 2 | 2002 | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This act | ion is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> | is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) | is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) | is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) | is/are objected to. | | | | |
| 8) 💢 Claims <u>1-20</u> | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are | a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the d | rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) 📈 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) 💢 All b) 🗆 Some* c) 🗀 None of: | | | | | |
| 1. 💢 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav | e been received in Application No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures | | | | | |
| *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the | e certified copies not received. | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | | |
| a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisiona | I application has been received. | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 6) | | | | |

Art Unit: 2832

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Tables 1-2 list 58 separate species of invention, with Sample 1 - species 1, ... Sample 58 - species 58.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/677886

Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl Easthom whose telephone number is (703)308-3306. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad, can be reached on (703)308-7619. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-7722. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

KARL D. EASTHOM